7th August 1954]

through Morappur has also been pressed recently. The Government of India have indicated that they will be considering all such proposals in connection with the Second Five-Year Plan.''

SRI D. KRISHNAMURTHY GOUNDER :—'' கிருஷ்ணகிரிக்கும் திருப்பத்தூருக்குமிடையேயுள்ள ரயில் பாதையைப்பற்றி சர்க்கார் என்ன அபிப்பிராயம் கொடுத்திருக்கிறார்கள் என்பதை கனம் மந்திரி அவர்கள் தெரிவிப்பார்களா ? ''

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—'' We have also recommended that line, but that has to be taken up separately. That will come up under the proposed Salem-Bangalore metre gauge line.''

SRI A. DORASAMI GOUNDER : —'' மொரப்பூருக்கும் தர்மபுரிக்கும் இடையே ஒரு ரெயில்பாதை போடுவதற்கு இந்த ஏற்பாட்டில் ஏதாவது உத்தேசம் இருக்கிறதா ? ''

THE HON. SRI M. BHAKTAVATSALAM :—'' Sir, the latest position is that the Government of India are likely to take up this proposal about connecting Salem and Bangalore by metre gauge and in that line, this line may also be covered.''

Arrest of persons belonging to the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam at Kollengode during the Prime Minister's visit to Travancore-Cochin on 4th February 1954.

* 1141 *Q.*—SRI A. GOVINDASWAMY NAYAGAR : Will the Hon. the Minister for Finance be pleased to state—

(*a*) whether there was any arrest of persons belonging to the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam at Kollengode, when the Prime Minister of India proceeded to Travancore-Cochin on 4th February 1954;

(*b*) if so, the number of persons arrested ; and

(*c*) the reasons therefor?

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' (*a*) *to* (*c*) The Kollengode police had information that four of the sympathisers of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam were secretly planning to bring outsiders for the purpose of staging black flag demonstrations during the visit of the Prime Minister of India to Kollengode in February last which was likely to lead to breach of the peace. To avert any such possibility and prevent commission of cognizable offences, the four persons were arrested under section 151, Criminal Procedure Code, on 4th February 1954. They were released the same day and were not prosecuted.''

SRI A. GOVINDASWAMY NAYAGAR :—'' திராவிட முன்னேற்றக் கழகத்தைச் சேர்ந்தவர்கள், ' ஸ்ரீ ஜவஹர்லால் நேரு' அவர்கள் திருவாங்கூர்-கொச்சி ராஜ்யத்திற்கு வரும்போது கருப்புக்கொடி காட்டவேண்டும் ' என்று

எந்தவிதமான தீர்மானமும் நிறைவேற்றுதபோது, ஏன் சென்னை அரசாங்கம் முன்கூட்டியே அவர்களைக் கைதுசெய்யவேண்டும் ? அப்படிக் கைது செய்யவேண்டுமென்று மத்திய அரசாங்கம் இந்த அரசாங்கத்திற்கு உத்தரவு அனுப்பியிருந்ததா ? இல்லாவிட்டால், சென்னை அரசாங்கமாகவே முன்கூட்டி ஏன் அவர்களைக் கைது செய்யவேண்டும் ? ''

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' Sir, the Government did not pass any specific orders on this subject. The Police acted within their powers under section 151, Criminal Procedure Code.''

SRI S. C. C. ANTHONY PILLAI :—'' Sir, there is an item of news in the papers this morning to the effect that volunteers from Tamil Nad will be going to the Travancore-Cochin State in connection with the agitation there. Will the Government give an assurance that, as in the case of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam people, they will not be detained as a preventive measure? ''

MR. SPEAKER :—'' What has this to do with the main question? ''

SRI M. P. SUBRAMANYAM :—'' Will the Hon. the Minister be pleased to state whether any documents were seized from the office of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam which indicated that there will be an agitation during the visit of the Prime Minister of India? ''

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' I did not state that it was a programme of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam. Four sympathisers of the Dravida Munnetra Kazhagam intended to stage a black flag demonstration and the Police had information to that effect. That was why the police took action.''

SRI P. RAMAMURTHI :—'' ஸ்தலத்தில் இருக்கக்கூடிய போலீஸ் அதிகாரிகள் சந்தேகப்பட்டதின்பேரில் இந்த மாதிரி நடவடிக்கை எடுக்கப் பட்டதாக சொல்லப்பட்டது. அவர்களுடைய சந்தேகத்திற்கு சரியான ஆஸ்பதங்கள் இருந்தனவா என்பதைப்பற்றி சர்க்கார் ஏதாவது விசாரணை செய்தார்களா ? அதன் தகவல்கள் என்ன ? ''

THE HON. SRI C. SUBRAMANIAM :—'' Sir, before a Police officer takes action, he should have sufficient material. If the hon. Member wants to know what the positive information was, I do not think it could be divulged.''

Dispute between the management and workers of the Palar Mill, Walajabad, Chingleput district.

* 1142 *Q.*—SRI S. RAMALINGAM: Will the Hon. the **Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state—**

(*a*) whether the Government have received any representation from the Palar Mill Workers' Union, Walajabad, Chingleput district, dated the 23rd February 1954, requesting the Government **to refer the dispute between the management and workers of the** mill to a tribunal for adjudication; and

(*b*) if so, the action taken or proposed to be taken thereon?